arXiv:0904.1264v1 [math.GT] 8 Apr 2009

WEAK EXTENSION THEOREM FOR MEASURE-PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS OF NONCOMPACT MANIFOLDS

TATSUHIKO YAGASAKI

Abstract. In this paper we deduce weak type extension theorems for the groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms of noncompact manifolds. As an application, we show that the group of measure-preserving homeomorphisms with compact support of a noncompact connected manifold, endowed with the Whitney topology, is locally contractible.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study some topological properties of groups of measure preserving homeomorphisms and spaces of measure preserving embeddings in noncompact manifolds (cf. [4, 5, 8, 11, 12]). Suppose M is a σ-compact topological n-manifold possibly with boundary and U is an open subset of M. Let $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ denote the space of proper embeddings of U into M endowed with the compact-open topology. The local deformation lemma for $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ [6, 7] asserts that for any compact subset C of U and any compact neighborhood K of C in U there exists a deformation φ_t ($t \in [0, 1]$) of an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of the inclusion map $i_U : U \subset M$ in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ such that $\varphi_0(f) = f$, $\varphi_1(f)|_C = i_C$ and $\varphi_t(f)|_{U-K} = f|_{U-K}$ ($t \in [0, 1]$) for each $f \in \mathcal{V}$. For a subset A of M let $\mathcal{H}_A(M)$ denote the group of homeomorphisms h of M with $h|_A = id_A$ endowed with the compact-open topology. The local deformation lemma is equivalent to the following weak type extension theorem: for any compact neighborhood L of C in U there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ and a homotopy $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{H}_{M-L}(M)$ such that $s_0(f) = id_M$ and $s_1(f)|_C = f|_C$ ($f \in \mathcal{U}$).

This result motivates the following general formulation: Suppose G is a topological group acting on M with the unit element e. Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ defined by $\mathcal{E}^G(U, M) = \{\widehat{g}|_U \mid g \in G\}$, where $\widehat{g}$ denotes the homeomorphism on M induced by $g \in G$. The weak extension theorem for the group action of G on M asserts that there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^G(U, M)$ and a homotopy $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to G$ such that $s_0(f) = e$ and $\widehat{s_1(f)}|_C = f|_C$ ($f \in \mathcal{U}$).

Suppose μ is a good Radon measure on M with $\mu(\partial M) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ and $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ denote the subgroups of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ consisting of μ-preserving homeomorphisms and μ-biregular homeomorphisms of M and let $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M)$ consisting of μ-biregular proper embeddings of U into M. In [8] A. Fathi obtained a local deformation lemma for the space $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ ([8, Theorem 4.1]). This is reformulated as the weak extension theorem for the group $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ ([8, Corollary 4.2]). In the case M is compact and connected, he also obtained a selection theorem for μ-biregular measures on M ([8, Theorem 3.3]) and used these results to deduce the weak extension theorem for the group $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ ([8, Theorem 4.12]).

In this paper we are concerned with the case where M is non-compact. In [4] R. Belanga has already extended the selection theorem for μ-biregular measures to the non-compact case ([4, Theorem 4.1]). We combine these results to obtain the weak extension theorem for the group $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ (cf. Corollary 5.1).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57S05; Secondary 58C35.

Key words and phrases. Group of measure preserving homeomorphisms, Extension, Principal bundle, Whitney topology, sigma-compact manifolds.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No.19540078.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose M is an n-manifold, μ is a good Radon measure on M with $\mu(\partial M) = 0$, C is a compact subset of M, U is an open neighborhood of C in M. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}(M;\mu)}(U, M)$ and a homotopy $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ such that*

(1) *for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$*

(i) $s_0(f) = id_M$, (ii) $s_1(f)|_C = f|_C$, (iii) *if $f = id$ on $U \cap \partial M$, then $s_t(f) = id$ on ∂M* $(t \in [0, 1])$,

(2) $s_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0, 1])$.

In comparison with topological or μ-biregular homeomorphisms, "μ-preserving homeomorphism" is a global property and we can not obtain a compactly supported weak extension theorem for the group $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$. This obstruction vanishes on the kernel of the end charge homomorphism c^μ.

In [2] S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad introduced the end charge homomorphism c^μ, which is a continuous homomorphism defined on the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{E_M}(M; \mu)$ of μ-preserving homeomorphisms of M which fix the ends of M. The kernel of c^μ, $\ker c^\mu$, includes the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)$ of μ-preserving homeomorphisms of M with compact support. If $h \in \mathcal{H}_{E_M}(M, E; \mu)$ and $c^\mu(h) = 0$, then one can split moves of μ-volume by h. Hence, we can obtain the compactly supported weak extension theorem for the subgroup $\ker c^\mu$ (cf. Theorem 5.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose M is a connected n-manifold, μ is a good Radon measure on M with $\mu(\partial M) = 0$, C is a compact subset of M and U and V are open neighborhoods of C in M such that $V \cap O$ is connected for each connected component O of $M - C$. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^{\ker c^\mu}(U, M)$ and a homotopy $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}_{M-V,c}(M; \mu)$ such that*

(1) *for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$*

(i) $s_0(f) = id_M$, (ii) $s_1(f)|_C = f|_C$, (iii) *if $f = id$ on $U \cap \partial M$, then $s_t(f) = id$ on ∂M* $(t \in [0, 1])$,

(2) $s_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0, 1])$.

We also discuss a non-ambient deformation lemma for μ-preserving embeddings (Theorem 5.3).

In the last section we study the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)_w$ endowed with the Whitney topology (cf. [3]). It is known that the group $\mathcal{H}(N)$ and the subgroup $\mathcal{H}(N; \nu)$ are locally contractible for any compact n-manifold N and any good Radon measure ν on N with $\nu(\partial N) = 0$ ([7, Corollary 1.1], [8, Theorem 4.4]). In [3] it is shown that the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M)_w$ consisting of homeomorphisms of M with compact support, endowed with the Whitney topology, is locally contractible. In this article, as an application of the weak extension theorem for $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)$, we show that the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)_w$ is also locally contractible for any connected n-manifold M (Theorem 6.1).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the general formulations and basic properties of local weak extension property and local weak section property for group actions. Section 3 contains fundamental facts related to Radon measures on manifolds (selection theorems for measures, end charge homomorphism, etc.). In Section 4 we recall the local deformation lemma for biregular embeddings and discuss some direct consequences of this lemma. In Section 5 we obtain the weak extension theorems for the groups $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$, $\ker c^\mu$ and $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)$ and a non-ambient deformation lemma for μ-preserving embeddings. In Section 6 we recall basic facts on the Whitney topology and show that the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)_w$ is locally contractible for any connected n-manifold M.

2. Fundamental facts on group actions

2.1. **Conventions.**

For a topological space X and a subset A of X, the symbols $\mathrm{Int}_X A$, $cl_X A$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_X A$ denote the topological interior, closure and frontier of A in X. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denote the collection of all connected components of X.

Suppose Y is a locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff space. Let $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ denote the group of homeomorphisms of Y endowed with the compact-open topology. For a subset A of Y, let $\mathcal{H}_A(Y) = \big\{h \in \mathcal{H}(Y) \mid h|_A = id_A\big\}$ (with the subspace topology). The group $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ and the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_A(Y)$ are topological groups. In general, for any topological group G, the symbols G_0 and G_1 denote the connected component and the path-component of the unit element e in G.

For subspaces $A \subset X$ of Y let $\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ denote the space of embeddings $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ endowed with the compact-open topology, and let $\mathcal{E}_A(X, Y) = \big\{f \in \mathcal{E}(X, Y) \mid f|_A = id_A\big\}$ (with the subspace topology). By $i_X : X \subset Y$ we denote the inclusion map of X into Y.

In this article, an n-manifold means a paracompact σ-compact (separable metrizable) topological n-manifold *possibly with boundary*. Suppose M is an n-manifold. The symbols ∂M and $\mathrm{Int}\, M$ denote the boundary and interior of M as a manfiold. For a subspace X of M, an embedding $f : X \to M$ is said to be *proper* if $f^{-1}(\partial M) = X \cap \partial M$. Let $\mathcal{E}^*(X, M)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{E}(X, M)$ consisting of proper embeddings $f : X \to M$. For a subset A of X let $\mathcal{E}^*_A(X, M) = \mathcal{E}^*(X, M) \cap \mathcal{E}_A(X, M)$.

By an *n-submanifold* of M we mean a closed subset N of M such that N is an n-manifold and $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ is locally flat in M and transverse to ∂M so that (i) $M - \mathrm{Int}_M N$ is an n-manifold and (ii) $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ and $N \cap \partial M$ are $(n-1)$-manifolds with the common boundary $(\mathrm{Fr}_M N) \cap (N \cap \partial M)$. For simplicity, let $\partial_+ N = \mathrm{Fr}_M N$, $\partial_- N = N \cap \partial M$ and $N^c = M - \mathrm{Int}_M N$. More generally, for a subset U of M let $\partial_- U = U \cap \partial M$.

Suppose M is an n-manifold.

Lemma 2.1. ([1, Theorem 0], cf. [9]) *Suppose C is a compact subset of M and U is a neighborhood of C in M. Then there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$ and $N \subset U$.*

Lemma 2.2. (1) *If M is connected and L is an n-submanifold of M such that $\partial_+ L$ is compact, then there exists a connected n-submanifold N of M such that $L \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$ and $N \cap L^c$ is compact.*

(2) *Suppose C is a compact subset of M.*

  (i) *For any neighborhood U of C in M there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$, $N \subset U$ and $O - N$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$.*

  (ii) *If U is an open neighborhood of C in M such that $U \cap O$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$, then there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$, $N \subset U$ and $N \cap O$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$.*

Proof. (1) Since M is connected and $\partial_+ L$ is compact, $\mathcal{C}(L)$ is a finite collection. Since M is connected, there exists a finite collection of disjoint arcs $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ in L^c such that $L \cup (\bigcup_i \alpha_i)$ is connected. We apply Lemma 2.1 to $C = \partial_+ L \cup (\bigcup_i \alpha_i)$ in the n-manifold L^c in order to find a compact n-submanifold N_0 of L^c such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_{L^c} N_0$ and each $K \in \mathcal{C}(N_0)$ meets C. Then $N = L \cup N_0$ satisfies the required conditions.

(2) (i) We may assume that M is connected (apply the connected case to each component of M). By Lemma 2.1 there exists a compact n-submanifold N_1 of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N_1$ and $N_1 \subset U$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \big\{O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C) \mid O \not\subset N_1\big\}$. Since $\mathcal{C}(N_1^c)$ is a finite collection, so is $\mathcal{C}$.

For each $O \in \mathcal{C}$, it is seen that O is a connected n-manifold, $N_1^c \cap O$ is an n-submanifold of O, $(N_1^c \cap O)^c = N_1 \cap O$ in O and $\mathrm{Fr}_O(N_1^c \cap O) = (\mathrm{Fr}_M N_1) \cap O$ is compact (it is a union of components of $\mathrm{Fr}_M N_1$). Thus, by (1) we can find a connected n-submanifold L_O of O such that $N_1^c \cap O \subset \mathrm{Int}_O L_O$ and $L_O \cap (N_1 \cap O)$ is compact. Note that L_O is closed in M so that it is also a connected n-submanifold of M. Let $L = \bigcup_{O \in \mathcal{C}} L_O$. Then, $N = L^c$ satisfies the required conditions. In fact, $C \subset M - L = \mathrm{Int}_M N$, $N \subset N_1$, $\mathcal{C} = \big\{O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C) \mid O \not\subset N\big\}$ and $O - N = \mathrm{Int}_M L_O$ for each $O \in \mathcal{C}$.

(ii) Since $\mathcal{C}(U - C) = \{O \cap U \mid O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)\}$, by replacing M by U, we may assume that $U = M$. Again we may assume that M is connected. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a compact n-submanifold N_1 of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N_1$. Consider the finite collection $\mathcal{C} = \big\{O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C) \mid O \not\subset N_1\big\}$. For each $O \in \mathcal{C}$, it is seen that O is a connected n-manifold, $N_1 \cap O$ is an n-submanifold of O, $(N_1 \cap O)^c = N_1^c \cap O$ in O and $\mathrm{Fr}_O(N_1 \cap O) = (\mathrm{Fr}_M N_1) \cap O$ is compact. Thus, by (1) we can find a connected n-submanifold K_O of O such that $N_1 \cap O \subset \mathrm{Int}_O K_O$ and $K_O \cap (N_1^c \cap O)$ is compact. Then, $N = N_1 \cup (\bigcup_{O \in \mathcal{C}} K_O)$ satisfies the required conditions. In fact, $\{O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C) \mid O \not\subset N\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $N \cap O = K_O$ for each $O \in \mathcal{C}$. □

2.2. **Pull-backs.**

For maps $B_1 \xrightarrow{p} B \xleftarrow{\pi} E$, we obtain the *pull-back* diagram in the category of topological spaces and continuous maps :

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^*E \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & E \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ B_1 \arrow[r, "p"'] & B \end{tikzcd}$$

Explicitly, the space p^*E and the maps $B_1 \xleftarrow{\pi'} p^*E \xrightarrow{p'} E$ are defined by

$$p^*E = \{(b_1, e) \in B_1 \times E \mid p(b_1) = \pi(e)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi'(b_1, e) = b_1, \quad p'(b_1, e) = e.$$

Suppose a topological group G acts on spaces B and B_1 transitively. Let $p : B_1 \to B$ be a G-equivariant map. Fix a point $b_1 \in B_1$ and let $b = p(b_1) \in B$ and let G_b be the stabilizer of b under the G-action on B. Consider the orbit map $\pi : G \to B$, $\pi(g) = gb$. Then the maps $B_1 \xrightarrow{p} B \xleftarrow{\pi} G$ induce the pull-back diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^*G \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & G \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ B_1 \arrow[r, "p"'] & B \end{tikzcd}$$

The group G_b acts freely on p^*G on the right by $(x, g) \cdot h = (x, gh)$ $((x, g) \in p^*G,\ h \in G_b)$. The induced map $p' : p^*G \to G$ admits a right inverse $r : G \to p^*G$, $r(g) = (gb_1, g)$ (i.e., $p'r = id_G$).

Definition 2.1. We say that the G-equivariant map $p : B_1 \to B$ has the *local section property* for G (LSP$_G$) at b_1 if there exists a neighborhood U_1 of b_1 in B_1 and a map $s_1 : U_1 \to G$ such that $\pi s_1 = p|_{U_1}$.

Lemma 2.3. (1) *The map p has LSP$_G$ at b_1 iff the induced map $\pi' : p^*G \to B_1$ is a principal G_b-bundle.*

(2) *If the fiber $p^{-1}(b)$ is contractible, then the map $p' : p^*G \to G$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. (1) Suppose the map p has LSP$_G$ at b_1. Take any point $b_2 \in B_1$. Since G acts on B_1 transitively, there exists a $g \in G$ with $b_2 = gb_1$. Then $U_2 = gU_1$ is a neighborhood of b_2 in B_1 and the map $s_2 : U_2 \to G$, $s_2(x) = gs_1(g^{-1}x)$ satisfies the condition $\pi s_2 = p|_{U_2}$ (i.e., $\pi s_2(x) = gs_1(g^{-1}x)b = g(p(g^{-1}x)) = p(x)$). The map $\pi' : p^*G \to B_1$ admits a local trivialization

$$\phi : U_2 \times G_b \cong (\pi')^{-1}(U_2) = \bigcup_{x \in U_2} \big(\{x\} \times \pi^{-1}(p(x))\big) \quad \text{over } U_2 \text{ defined by} \quad \phi(x, h) = (x, s_2(x)h).$$

The converse is obvious.

(2) It remains to show that $rp' \simeq id_{p^*G}$. There exists a contraction $\phi_t : p^{-1}(b) \to p^{-1}(b)$ $(t \in [0, 1])$ such that $\phi_1(p^{-1}(b)) = \{b_1\}$. If $(x, g) \in p^*G$, then $x \in p^{-1}(gb) = g\,p^{-1}(b)$. Thus, we can define a homotopy

$$\Phi_t : p^*G \to p^*G \qquad \text{from} \;\; id_{p^*G} \;\; \text{to} \;\; rp' \;\; \text{by} \qquad \Phi_t(x, g) = \big(g\phi_t(g^{-1}x), g\big).$$

□

2.3. **Group actions and spaces of embeddings.**

Suppose a topological group G acts continuously on a locally compact Hausdorff space Y. Each $g \in G$ induces $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ defined by $\hat{g}(y) = gy$ $(y \in Y)$. Let H be any subset of G. For subsets A, B of Y we have the following subsets of H:

$$\begin{aligned} &H_A = \big\{h \in H \mid \hat{h}|_A = id_A\big\}, \quad H(B) = H_{Y \setminus B}, \quad H_A(B) = H_A \cap H(B), \\ &H_c = \big\{h \in H \mid \operatorname{supp} \hat{h} \text{ is compact}\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

If H is a subgroup of G, then these are subgroups of H.

For subsets $X \subset C \subset U$ of Y, the group $G_X(U)$ acts continuously on the space $\mathcal{E}_X(C,U)$ by the left composition $g \cdot f = \hat{g}f$ $(g \in G_X(U),\ f \in \mathcal{E}_X(C,U))$ and we have the following subspace of $\mathcal{E}_X(C,U)$:

$$\mathcal{E}_X^H(C,U) = H_X(U)i_C = \{\hat{g}|_C \mid g \in H_X(U)\} \quad \text{(with the compact-open topology)}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_X^H(C,U) = \mathcal{E}^{H_X}(C,U)$, by replacing H by H_X if necessary, we omit X in the subsequent statements.

Consider the pull-back diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^*G \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & G \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathcal{E}^G(U,Y) \arrow[r, "p"'] & \mathcal{E}^G(C,Y) \end{tikzcd} \quad ,\text{where} \quad \pi(g) = \hat{g}|_C \quad \text{and} \quad p(f) = f|_C.$$

The group G acts on the spaces $\mathcal{E}^G(U,Y)$ and $\mathcal{E}^G(C,Y)$ transitively. The restriction map p is G-equivariant and has the fiber $p^{-1}(i_C) = \mathcal{E}_C^G(U,Y)$.

Definition 2.2. We say that the pair (U,C) has the local section property for G (LSP$_G$) if the G-equivariant map $p : \mathcal{E}^G(U,Y) \to \mathcal{E}^G(C,Y)$ has LSP$_G$ at i_U.

Lemma 2.4. *The pair (U,C) has LSP$_G$ iff the map $\pi' : p^*G \to \mathcal{E}^G(U,Y)$ is a principal G_C-bundle.*

This lemma follows directly from Lemma 2.3 (1).

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose there exists a path $h : [0,1] \to G$ such that $h_0 = e$, $\widehat{h_1}(U) \subset C$ and $\widehat{h}_t(U) \subset U$, $\widehat{h}_t(C) \subset C$ $(t \in [0,1])$. Then the following hold.*

1. *The map $p : \mathcal{E}^G(U,Y) \to \mathcal{E}^G(C,Y)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*
2. *There exists a strong deformation retraction χ_t $(t \in [0,1])$ of $\mathcal{E}_C^G(U,Y)$ onto the singleton $\{i_U\}$.*
3. *The map $p' : p^*G \to G$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. (1) We can define a map $p_1 : \mathcal{E}^G(C,Y) \to \mathcal{E}^G(U,Y)$ by $p_1(f) = f\,\widehat{h_1}|_U$. It follows that

(i) $p_1p(f) = f\,\widehat{h_1}|_U$ and a homotopy $\phi_t : id \simeq p_1p$ is defined by $\phi_t(f) = f\,\widehat{h}_t|_U$, and

(ii) $pp_1(f) = f\,\widehat{h_1}|_C$ and a homotopy $\psi_t : id \simeq pp_1$ is defined by $\psi_t(f) = f\,\widehat{h}_t|_C$.

(2) The contraction χ_t of $\mathcal{E}_C^G(U,Y)$ is defined by $\chi_t(f) = \widehat{h_t}^{-1} f \widehat{h}_t|_U$.

(3) The assertion follows from (2) and Lemma 2.3 (2). □

Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 yield the following consequence.

Proposition 2.1. *If a subset C of Y satisfies the condition $(*)$ below, then the map*

$$G_C \ \subset\ G \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } \mathcal{E}^G(C,Y) \quad \text{defined by} \ \ \pi(h) = \hat{h}|_C$$

is a locally trivial bundle up to homotopy equivalences and hence has the exact sequence for homotopy groups.

(∗) *There exists a subset* U *of* Y *such that* (i) $C \subset U$, (ii) *the pair* (U, C) *has* LSP_G, *and*

(iii) *there exists a path* $h_t \in G$ $(t \in [0,1])$ *such that*

$$h_0 = e, \quad \widehat{h_1}(U) = C, \quad \widehat{h_t}(U) \subset U, \quad \widehat{h_t}(C) \subset C \quad (t \in [0,1]).$$

2.4. Weak extension property.

Suppose a topological group G acts on an n-manifold M. Consider a pair (H, F) of subsets of G and a triple (V, U, C) of subsets of M such that $C \subset U \cap V$ (we do not assume that $F \subset H$ and $U \subset V$).

Definition 2.3. We say that the triple (V, U, C) has the *weak extension property* for (H, F) (abbreviated as $\mathrm{WEP}_{H,F}$ or $\mathrm{WEP}(H, F)$) if there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^H(U, M)$ and a homotopy $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to F(V)$ such that

(1) for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$
  (i) $s_0(f) = e$, (ii) $\widehat{s_1(f)}|_C = f|_C$, (iii) if $f = id$ on $\partial_- U$, then $\widehat{s_t(f)} = id$ on ∂M $(t \in [0,1])$,

(2) $s_t(i_U) = e$ $(t \in [0,1])$.

The map $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to F(V)$ $(t \in [0,1])$ is called the *local weak extension map* (LWE map). When $H = F$, we simply say that (V, U, C) has WEP_H. When $V = U$, we say that the pair (U, C) has $\mathrm{WEP}_{H,F}$. Note that WEP_G for (U, C) implies LSP_G for (U, C).

One of our interest is the following problem.

Problem 2.1. Given a class of triples (V, U, C) in Y and a subset F of G, determine the largest subset H of G for which each triple (V, U, C) in this class has $WEP(H, F)$.

The next lemma easily follows from the definition.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose* (V, U, C) *and* (V', U', C') *are two triples of subsets in* M *such that* $C \subset U \cap V$ *and* $C' \subset U' \cap V'$ *and* (H, F) *and* (H', F') *are two pairs of subsets in* G. *If* (i) (V, U, C) *has WEP*(H, F), (ii) $V \subset V'$, $U \subset U'$, $C \supset C'$ *and* (iii) $H \supset H'$, $F \subset F'$, *then* (V', U', C') *has WEP*(H', F').

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose* F *is a subgroup of* G. *If two triples* (V_1, U_1, C_1) *and* (V_2, U_2, C_2) *have WEP*(H, F) *and* $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, *then the triple* $\big(V_1 \cup V_2,\ U_1 \cup U_2,\ C_1 \cup C_2\big)$ *also has WEP*(H, F).

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$ let $\mathcal{E}^H(U_i, M) \supset \mathcal{U}_i \overset{s_t^i}{\to} F(V_i)$ be the associated LWE map for (V_i, U_i, C_i). Take a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $i_{U_1 \cup U_2}$ in $\mathcal{E}^H(U_1 \cup U_2, M)$ such that $f|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{U}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the required LWE map $s_t : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow F(V_1 \cup V_2)$ for $\big(V_1 \cup V_2,\ U_1 \cup U_2,\ C_1 \cup C_2\big)$ is defined by

$$s_t(f) = s_t^1(f|_{U_1}) s_t^2(f|_{U_2}) \quad \text{(the multiplication in } G\text{)}.$$

Note that $\widehat{s_t(f)} = \widehat{s_t^i(f|_{U_i})}$ on V_i and $\widehat{s_t(f)} = id$ on $M - (V_1 \cup V_2)$. □

3. SPACES OF RADON MEASURES AND GROUPS OF MEASURE-PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS

3.1. Spaces of Radon measures.

Suppose Y is a locally connected, locally compact, σ-compact (separable metrizable) space. Let $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ denote the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of Y. A *Radon measure* on Y is a measure μ on the measurable space $(Y, \mathcal{B}(Y))$ such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for any compact subset K of Y. Let $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ denote the set of Radon measures on Y. The *weak* topology w on $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is the weakest topology such that the function

$$\Phi_f : \mathcal{M}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \ : \ \mu \longmapsto \int_Y f \, d\mu$$

is continuous for any continuous function $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. The set $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is endowed with the weak topology w, otherwise specified.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, the restriction $\mu|_A$ is the Radon measure on A defined by $(\mu|_A)(B) = \mu(B)$ $(B \in \mathcal{B}(A))$.

Lemma 3.1. ([4, Lemma 2.2]) *For any closed subset A of Y, the map $\mathcal{M}(Y) \to \mathcal{M}(A) : \mu \mapsto \mu|_A$ is continuous at each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ with $\mu(\mathrm{Fr}_M A) = 0$.*

We say that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ is *good* if $\mu(p) = 0$ for any point $p \in Y$ and $\mu(U) > 0$ for any nonempty open subset U of Y. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ let $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ consisting of good Radon measures μ on Y with $\mu(A) = 0$. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$, we say that ν is *μ-biregular* if ν and μ have same null sets (i.e., $\nu(B) = 0$ iff $\mu(B) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$). For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ we set

$$\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-reg}) = \big\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y) \mid \nu \text{ is } \mu\text{-biregular}\big\} \ \text{(with the weak topology)}.$$

For $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$, the induced measures $h_*\mu, h^*\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ are defined by

$$(h_*\mu)(B) = \mu(h^{-1}(B)) \quad \text{and} \quad (h^*\mu)(B) = \mu(h(B)) \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)).$$

The group $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ acts continuously on the space $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ by $h \cdot \mu = h_*\mu$. We say that $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ is

(i) *μ-preserving* if $h_*\mu = \mu$ (i.e., $\mu(h(B)) = \mu(B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$) and

(ii) *μ-biregular* if $h_*\mu$ and μ have the same null sets (i.e., $\mu(h(B)) = 0$ iff $\mu(B) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$).

Let $\mathcal{H}(Y;\mu) \subset \mathcal{H}(Y;\mu\text{-reg})$ denote the subgroups of $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ consisting of μ-preserving and μ-biregular homeomorphisms of Y respectively. For a subset A of Y, the subgroups $\mathcal{H}_A(Y;\mu)$, $\mathcal{H}_A(Y;\mu)_1$, $\mathcal{H}_{A,c}(Y;\mu)$, $\mathcal{H}_A(Y;\mu\text{-reg})$, etc. are defined according to the conventions in Sections 2.1 and 2.3.

For spaces of embeddings, we use the following notations. Suppose Y is a locally compact, σ-compact (separable metrizable) space and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$. For any $X \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, an embedding $f : X \to Y$ is said to be

(i) Borel if $f(X) \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$,

(ii) μ-biregular provided f is Borel and $\mu(f(B)) = 0$ iff $\mu(B) = 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

(iii) μ-preserving provided f is Borel and $f : (X, \mu|_X) \cong (f(X), \mu|_{f(X)})$ is a measure preserving homeomorphism (i.e., $\mu(f(B)) = \mu(B)$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$).

For a subset A of X, let $\mathcal{E}_A(X,Y;\mu\text{-reg})$ and $\mathcal{E}_A(X,Y;\mu)$ denote the subspaces of $\mathcal{E}_A(X,Y)$ consisting of μ-biregular embeddings and μ-preserving embeddings respectively.

Suppose M is a *compact connected* n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)\big(= \mathcal{M}_g^{\partial M}(M)\big)$.

Theorem 3.1. ([10]) *If $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ and $\nu(M) = \mu(M)$, then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(M)_1$ such that $h_*\mu = \nu$.*

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu) = \big\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu\text{-reg}) \mid \nu(M) = \mu(M)\big\}$ (with the weak topology). (See Section 3.2 for the definition in the case where M is noncompact.) The group $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-reg})$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)$ by $h \cdot \nu = h_*\nu$. This action induces the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-reg}) \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu) \ : \ h \longmapsto h_*\mu.$$

Theorem 3.2. ([8, Theorem 3.3]) *The map π admits a section*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\partial(M;\mu\text{-}reg)_1 \subset \mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-}reg) \ \text{such that} \ (\pi\sigma = id \ \text{and}) \ \sigma(\mu) = id_M.$$

Next we recall basic facts on the product of measures. Suppose $(X,\mathcal{F},\mu)$ and $(Y,\mathcal{G},\nu)$ are σ-finite measure spaces. Let $\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{G}$ denote the σ-algebra on $X \times Y$ generated by the family $\{A \times B \mid A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G}\}$. For $G \in \mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{G}$ and $x \in X$, the slice $G_x \subset Y$ is defined by $G_x = \{y \in Y \mid (x,y) \in G\}$. It is well known that

(1) there exists a unique measure ω on the measurable space $(X \times Y, \mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{G})$ such that $\omega(A \times B) = \mu(A)\cdot\nu(B) \quad (A \in \mathcal{F}, B \in \mathcal{G})$ (we follow the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$),

(2) for any $G \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$

(i) $\nu(G_x)$ $(x \in X)$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable function on X and (ii) $\omega(G) = \int_X \nu(G_x)\, d\mu(x)$.

This result yields the following consequences on the product of Radon measures.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are locally compact separable metrizable spaces with Radon measures. Then the following hold:*

(0) $\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$.

(1) *There exists a unique $\omega \in \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$ such that $\omega(A \times B) = \mu(A) \cdot \nu(B)$ $(A \in \mathcal{B}(X), B \in \mathcal{B}(Y))$.*

(2) *For any $G \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$*

(i) $\nu(G_x)$ $(x \in X)$ *is a $\mathcal{B}(X)$-measurable function on X and* (ii) $\omega(G) = \int_X \nu(G_x)\, d\mu(x)$.

The measure ω is called the product of μ and ν and denoted by $\mu \times \nu$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $f : (X, \mu) \to (X_1, \mu_1)$ and $g : (Y, \nu) \to (Y_1, \nu_1)$ are homeomorphisms between locally compact separable metrizable spaces with Radon measures. Then the product homeomorphism $f \times g : (X \times Y, \mu \times \nu) \longrightarrow (X_1 \times Y_1, \mu_1 \times \nu_1)$ has the following properties:*

(1) *If f and g are biregular, then $f \times g$ is biregular.*

(2) *If f and g are measure-preserving, then $f \times g$ is measure-preserving.*

Proof. For $G \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$, we have (a) $(\mu \times \nu)(G) = \int_X \nu(G_x)\, d\mu(x)$ and

$$\text{(b)}\quad (\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big((f \times g)(G)\big) = \int_{X_1} \nu_1\Big(\big((f \times g)(G)\big)_{x_1}\Big)\, d\mu_1(x_1) = \int_{X_1} \nu_1(g(G_{f^{-1}(x_1)}))\, d\mu_1(x_1).$$

(1) Note that

(i) $(\mu \times \nu)(G) = 0$ iff $\nu(G_x) = 0$ (μ-a.e. $x \in X$)

(i.e., $\exists\ A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\mu(A) = 0$ and $\nu(G_x) = 0$ $(x \in X - A)$),

(ii) $(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big((f \times g)(G)\big) = 0$ iff $\nu_1(g(G_{f^{-1}(x_1)})) = 0$ (μ_1-a.e. $x_1 \in X_1$).

Since f and g are biregular, if (i) holds, then it follows that

$$f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X_1),\ \ \mu_1(f(A)) = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \nu_1(g(G_{f^{-1}(x_1)})) = 0 \ \ (x_1 \in X_1 - f(A)).$$

This implies (ii). The same argument shows the opposite implication. This means that $f \times g$ is biregular.

(2) Since f and g are measure-preserving, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big((f \times g)(G)\big) &= \int_{X_1} \nu_1(g(G_{f^{-1}(x_1)}))\, d\mu_1(x_1) = \int_{X_1} \nu(G_{f^{-1}(x_1)})\, d\mu_1(x_1) \\ &= \int_X \nu(G_x)\, d\mu(x) = (\mu \times \nu)(G).\end{aligned}$$

This means that $f \times g$ is measure-preserving. We also note that $(f \times g)^*(\mu_1 \times \nu_1) \in \mathcal{M}(X \times Y)$ satisfies the condition : for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$

$$\begin{aligned}\big((f \times g)^*(\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big)(A \times B) &= (\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big((f \times g)(A \times B)\big) = (\mu_1 \times \nu_1)\big(f(A) \times g(B)\big) \\ &= \mu_1(f(A)) \cdot \nu_1(g(B)) = \mu(A) \cdot \nu(B).\end{aligned}$$

By definition we have $(f \times g)^*(\mu_1 \times \nu_1) = \mu \times \nu$. This also implies the conclusion. □

We conclude this subsection with some remarks on collars of the boundary of a submanifold. Suppose M is an n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$.

Remark 3.1. Suppose N is an n-submanifold of M such that $\partial_+ N$ is compact. Since $\mu(\partial M) = 0$, we have $\mu(\partial N) = \mu(\partial_+ N)$. Take a bicollar $\partial_+ N \times [-1, 1]$ of $\partial_+ N$ in M. Since $\partial_+ N \times [-1, 1]$ is compact, it follows that $\mu(\partial_+ N \times [-1, 1]) < \infty$ and $\{t \in [-1, 1] \mid \mu(\partial_+ N \times \{t\}) \neq 0\}$ is a countable subset of $[-1, 1]$. Hence, we can modify N by adding or subtracting a thin collar of $\partial_+ N$ so that $\mu(\partial N) = \mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$.

Let m denote the Lebesgue measure on the real line $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose N is an n-submanifold of M such that $\partial_+ N$ is compact and $\mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$ and suppose $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(\partial_+ N)$. Then, there exists a bicollar $E = \partial_+ N \times [a, b]$ $(a < 0 < b)$ of $\partial_+ N$ in M such that $\partial_+ N = \partial_+ N \times \{0\}$, $N \cap E = \partial_+ N \times [a, 0]$ and $\mu|_E = \nu \times (m|_{[a,b]})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}(\partial_+ N) = \{F_1, \cdots, F_m\}$. For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, choose a small bicollar $E_i = F_i \times [a_i, b_i]$ $(a_i < 0 < b_i)$ such that $F_i = F_i \times \{0\}$, $N \cap E_i = F_i \times [a_i, 0]$, $\mu(\partial_+ E_i) = 0$, $\mu(F_i \times [a_i, 0]) = |a_i|\nu(F_i)$ and $\mu(F_i \times [0, b_i]) = b_i \nu(F_i)$. We can apply Theorem 3.1 to

$$\mu|_{F_i \times [a_i,0]}, \nu|_{F_i} \times (m|_{[a_i,0]}) \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(F_i \times [a_i, 0]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu|_{F_i \times [0,b_i]}, \nu|_{F_i} \times (m|_{[0,b_i]}) \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(F_i \times [0, b_i])$$

to replace the identification of the collar $E_i = F_i \times [a_i, b_i]$ so that $\mu|_{E_i} = \nu|_{F_i} \times (m|_{[a_i,b_i]})$. Finally, take a, b such that $\max_i a_i < a < 0 < b < \min_i b_i$ and set $E = \partial_+ N \times [a, b] = \bigcup_i \big(F_i \times [a, b]\big)$. □

3.2. **End compactification and finite-end weak topology.** (cf. [2, 4])

In order to extend the selection theorem 3.2 to the noncompact case, it is necessary to include the information of the ends. Suppose Y is a noncompact, connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metrizable space. Let $\mathcal{K}(Y)$ denote the collection of all compact subsets of Y. An *end* of Y is a function e which assigns an $e(K) \in \mathcal{C}(Y - K)$ to each $K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ such that $e(K_1) \supset e(K_2)$ if $K_1 \subset K_2$. The set of ends of Y is denoted by E_Y. The *end compactification* of Y is the space $\overline{Y} = Y \cup E_Y$ equipped with the topology defined by the following conditions: (i) Y is an open subspace of $\overline{Y}$, (ii) the fundamental open neighborhoods of $e \in E_Y$ are given by

$$N(e, K) = e(K) \cup \{e' \in E_Y \mid e'(K) = e(K)\} \quad (K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)).$$

Then $\overline{Y}$ is a connected, locally connected, compact, metrizable space, Y is a dense open subset of $\overline{Y}$ and E_Y is a compact 0-dimensional subset of $\overline{Y}$.

For $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ and $e \in E_Y$ we define $h(e) \in E_Y$ by $h(e)(K) = h(e(h^{-1}(K)))$ $(K \in \mathcal{K}(Y))$. Each $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ has a unique extension $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{Y})$ defined by $\overline{h}(e) = h(e)$ $(e \in E_Y)$. The map $\mathcal{H}(Y) \to \mathcal{H}(\overline{Y}) : h \mapsto \overline{h}$ is a continuous group homomorphism. For $A \subset Y$ we set $\mathcal{H}_{A \cup E_Y}(Y) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_A(Y) \mid \overline{h}|_{E_Y} = id_{E_Y}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{A \cup E_Y}(Y)_0 = \mathcal{H}_A(Y)_0$.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$. An end $e \in E_Y$ is said to be *μ-finite* if $\mu(e(K)) < \infty$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$. Let $E_Y^\mu = \{e \in E_Y \mid e \text{ is } \mu\text{-finite}\}$. Then $Y \cup E_Y^\mu$ is an open subset of $\overline{Y}$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y)$ we set

$$\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg}) = \big\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y) \mid \nu \text{ is } \mu\text{-biregular},\ E_Y^\nu = E_Y^\mu\big\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu) = \big\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg}) \mid \nu(Y) = \mu(Y)\big\}.$$

The *finite-ends weak* topology ew on $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg})$ is the weakest topology such that the function

$$\Phi_f : \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \ : \ \nu \longmapsto \int_Y f|_Y \, d\nu$$

is continuous for any continuous function $f : Y \cup E_Y^\mu \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support.

There is an alternative description of this topology ([4, §3, p 245]). Consider the space $\mathcal{M}(Y \cup E_Y^\mu)$ (with the weak topology). Each $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg})$ has a natural extension $\overline{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}_g(Y \cup E_Y^\mu)$ defined by $\overline{\nu}(B) = \nu(B \cap Y)$ $(B \in \mathcal{B}(Y \cup E_Y^\mu))$. The topology ew on $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg})$ is the weakest topology for which the injection

$$\iota : \mathcal{M}_g^A(Y; \mu\text{-e-reg}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y \cup E_Y^\mu)_w \ : \ \nu \longmapsto \overline{\nu}$$

is continuous. The symbol $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y;\mu\text{-e-reg})_{ew}$ denotes the space $\mathcal{M}_g^A(Y;\mu\text{-e-reg})$ endowed with the topology *ew*.

We say that $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ is *μ-end-biregular* if h is μ-biregular and $E_Y^{h_*\mu} = E_Y^\mu$ (i.e., $\overline{h}(E_Y^\mu) = E_Y^\mu$). Let $\mathcal{H}(Y;\mu\text{-e-reg})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ consisting of μ-end-biregular homeomorphisms of Y.

Suppose M is a connected n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. The group $\mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-e-reg})$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)_{ew}$ by $h\cdot\nu = h_*\nu$. This action induces the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-e-reg}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)_{ew} \ : \ h \longmapsto h_*\mu.$$

Theorem 3.3. ([4, Theorem 4.1]) *The map π has a section*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)_{ew} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\partial(M;\mu\text{-e-reg})_1 \ = \ \mathcal{H}_\partial(M;\mu\text{-reg})_1 \ \textit{ such that } \ (\pi\sigma = id \ \textit{ and}) \ \sigma(\mu) = id_M.$$

3.3. End charge homomorphism.

We recall basic properties of the end charge homomorphisms defined in [2, Section 14]. Suppose Y is a connected, locally connected, locally compact separable, metrizable space. Let $\mathcal{Q}(E_Y)$ denote the algebra of clopen subsets of E_Y and let $\mathcal{B}_c(Y) = \{C \in \mathcal{B}(Y) \mid \mathrm{Fr}_Y\, C \text{ is compact}\}$. For each $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ let

$$E_C = \{e \in E_Y \mid e(K) \subset C \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{C} = C \cup E_C \subset \overline{Y}.$$

Note that (i) $E_C \in \mathcal{Q}(E_Y)$ and $\overline{C}$ is a neighborhood of E_C in $\overline{Y}$ with $\overline{C} \cap E_Y = E_C$, (ii) for $C, D \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ it follows that $E_C = E_D$ iff $C\Delta D = (C-D)\cup(D-C)$ is relatively compact (i.e., has the compact closure) in Y, (iii) if $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_{E_Y}(Y)$, then $h(C) \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ and $E_{h(C)} = E_C$.

An *end charge* of Y is a finitely additive signed measure c on $\mathcal{Q}(E_Y)$, that is, a function $c : \mathcal{Q}(E_Y) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following condition:

$$c(F \cup G) = c(F) + c(G) \ \text{ for } \ F, G \in \mathcal{Q}(E_Y) \ \text{ with } \ F \cap G = \emptyset.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ denote the space of end charges c of Y endowed with the *weak topology* (or the product topology). This topology is the weakest topology such that the function

$$\Psi_F : \mathcal{S}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \ : \ c \longmapsto c(F)$$

is continuous for any $F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_Y)$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(Y)$ let

$$\mathcal{S}(Y,\mu) = \big\{c \in \mathcal{S}(Y) \mid \text{(i) } c(F) = 0 \text{ for } F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_Y) \text{ with } F \subset E_Y^\mu \ \text{ and } \ \text{(ii) } c(E_Y) = 0\,\big\}$$

(with the weak topology). Then $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ is a topological linear space and $\mathcal{S}(Y,\mu)$ is a linear subspace.

For $h \in \mathcal{H}_{E_Y}(Y;\mu)$ the end charge $c_h^\mu \in \mathcal{S}(Y,\mu)$ is defined as follows: For any $F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_Y)$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ with $E_C = F$. Since $\overline{h}|_{E_Y} = id$, it follows that $E_C = E_{h(C)}$ and that $C\Delta\, h(C)$ is relatively compact in Y. Thus $\mu(C - h(C)), \mu(h(C) - C) < \infty$ and we can define

$$c_h^\mu(F) = \mu(C - h(C)) - \mu(h(C) - C) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This quantity is independent of the choice of C.

Proposition 3.3. *The end charge homomorphism $c^\mu : \mathcal{H}_{E_Y}(Y;\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(Y,\mu)$ is a continuous group homomorphism* ([2, Section 14.9, Lemma 14.21 (iv)]).

In [12] we have shown that, for any connected n-manifold M and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$, the end charge homomorphism $c^\mu : \mathcal{H}_{E_M}(M;\mu) \to \mathcal{S}(M;\mu)$ has a (non-homomorphic) section $s : \mathcal{S}(M,\mu) \to \mathcal{H}_\partial(M;\mu)_1$.

For any subset A of Y we have the restriction of c^μ

$$c_A^\mu : \mathcal{H}_{A\cup E_Y}(Y;\mu) \to S(Y,\mu).$$

The kernel of the homomorphism c^μ is denoted by $\ker c^\mu$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu) \subset \ker c^\mu$ and $\big(\ker c^\mu\big)_A = \ker c_A^\mu$. By the definition, if $h \in \ker c^\mu$, then for any $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$ we have $\mu(C - h(C)) = \mu(h(C) - C)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* $h \in \ker c^{\mu}$ *and* $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(Y)$*. If* $L \in \mathcal{B}(C \cap h(C))$ *and* $C - L$ *is relatively compact in* Y*, then* $h(C) - L$ *is also relatively compact and* $\mu(h(C) - L) = \mu(C - L)$*.*

Proof. Since $\mu(C - h(C)) = \mu(h(C) - C)$, the assertion follows from the equalities :

$$h(C) - L = (h(C) - C) \cup \big((C \cap h(C)) - L\big) \quad \text{and} \quad C - L = (C - h(C)) \cup \big((C \cap h(C)) - L\big). \qquad \square$$

4. Weak extension theorem for biregular homeomorphisms

Throughout this section M is an n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^{\partial}(M)$. The weak extension theorem for the group $G = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ is already obtained in [8]. In this section we discuss some consequences of this extension theorem. In Section 5 we combine the weak extension theorem for $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ and the selection theorem for μ-biregular measures (Theorems 3.2 and 3.3) in order to obtain the weak extension theorems for the groups $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ and $\ker c^{\mu}$.

First we recall the deformation theorem for μ-biregular embeddings [8, Theorem 4.1]. For $X \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ and $A \subset X$, let $\mathcal{E}_A^*(X, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ denote the space of proper μ-biregular embeddings $f : X \to M$ with $f|_A = id_A$, endowed with the compact-open topology (cf. Sections 2.1 and 3.1).

Suppose C is a compact subset of M, $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of C in M and $D \subset E$ are two closed subsets of M such that $D \subset \mathrm{Int}_M E$.

Theorem 4.1. ([8, Theorem 4.1]) *For any compact neighborhood* K *of* C *in* U*, there exists a neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *of* i_U *in* $\mathcal{E}^*_{E\cap U}(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ *and a homotopy* $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^*_{D\cap U}(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ *such that*

(1) *for each* $f \in \mathcal{U}$*,*

  (i) $\varphi_0(f) = f$*,* (ii) $\varphi_1(f)|_C = i_C$*,* (iii) $\varphi_t(f)|_{U-K} = f|_{U-K}$ $(t \in [0,1])$*,*

  (iv) *if* $f = id$ *on* $\partial_- U$*, then* $\varphi_t(f) = id$ *on* $\partial_- U$ $(t \in [0,1])$*,*

(2) $\varphi_t(i_U) = i_U$ $(t \in [0,1])$*.*

Theorem 4.1 is equivalent to the next weak extension theorem.

Theorem 4.2. ([8, Corollary 4.2]) *For any compact neighborhood* L *of* C *in* U*, there exists a neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *of* i_U *in* $\mathcal{E}^*_{E\cap U}(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ *and a homotopy* $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{H}_{D\cup(M-L)}(M; \mu\text{-reg})_1$ *such that*

(1) *for each* $f \in \mathcal{U}$

  (i) $s_0(f) = id_M$*,* (ii) $s_1(f)|_C = f|_C$*,* (iii) *if* $f = id$ *on* $\partial_- U$*, then* $s_t(f) = id$ *on* ∂M*,*

(2) $s_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0,1])$*.*

(In [8, Corollary 4.2] the map s_1 alone is mentioned.)

Now we discuss some consequences of Theorem 4.2 for the group $G = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$. Suppose X is a compact subset of M. Note that $G_X = \mathcal{H}_X(M; \mu\text{-reg})$.

Suppose C is a compact subset of M with $X \subset C$ and U is a neighborhood of C in M. Consider the pull-back diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^*G_X \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & G_X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathcal{E}_X^G(U, M) \arrow[r, "p"'] & \mathcal{E}_X^G(C, M) \end{tikzcd}$$

,where $\pi(h) = h|_C$ and $p(f) = f|_C$.

By Theorem 4.2 the pair (U, C) has WEP_G. Hence it has LSP_G and also LSP_{G_X}. Thus the next assertion follows from Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 4.1. *The induced map* $\pi' : p^*G_X \to \mathcal{E}_X^G(U, M)$ *is a principal* G_C*-bundle.*

Suppose N is a compact n-submanifold of M such that $\mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$ and $X \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$. Take any compact n-submanifold N_1 of M such that $\mu(\partial_+ N_1) = 0$ and N_1 is obtained from N by adding an outer collar of $\partial_+ N$. We obtain the pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} p^*G_X \arrow[r, "p'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & G_X \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathcal{E}^G_X(N_1, M) \arrow[r, "p"'] & \mathcal{E}^G_X(N, M) \end{tikzcd}$$

,where $\pi(g) = g|_N$, $p(f) = f|_N$ and $p^{-1}(i_N) = \mathcal{E}^G_N(N_1, M)$.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a path $h : [0,1] \to G_X$ such that*

$$h_0 = id_M, \quad h_1(N_1) = N \quad \text{and} \quad h_t(N_1) \subset N_1, \ h_t(N) \subset N \quad (t \in [0,1]).$$

Proof. (1) Let m denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. We can find a bicollar $E = \partial_+ N \times [a,b]$ $(a < 0, b > 1)$ of $\partial_+ N$ in $M - X$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(\partial_+ N)$ such that

$$\text{(i) } \partial_+ N = \partial_+ N \times \{0\}, \ \partial_+ N_1 = \partial_+ N \times \{1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(ii) } \mu|_E = \nu \times (m|_{[a,b]}).$$

This follows from the following observation. First take any bicollar $E' = \partial_+ N \times [-1,2]$ of $\partial_+ N$ in $M - X$ which satisfies (i) and the weaker condition (ii)$'$ $\mu(\partial_+ N \times \{-1\}) = \mu(\partial_+ N \times \{2\}) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\partial_+ N) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ and set $E'_i = F_i \times [-1,2]$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$. Choose any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(\partial_+ N)$ such that $\nu(F_i) = \mu(F_i \times [0,1])$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$. For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, determine $a_i < 0$ and $b_i > 1$ by $|a_i|\nu(F_i) = \mu(F_i \times [-1,0])$ and $(b_i - 1)\nu(F_i) = \mu(F_i \times [1,2])$, and reparametrize $F_i \times [-1,0]$ to $F_i \times [a_i, 0]$ and $F_i \times [1,2]$ to $F_i \times [1, b_i]$. We can apply Theorem 3.1 on $F_i \times [a_i, 0]$, $F_i \times [0,1]$ and $F_i \times [1, b_i]$ to obtain a new identification $E'_i = F_i \times [a_i, b_i]$ so that $\mu|_{E'_i} = \nu \times (m|_{[a_i, b_i]})$. Take a, b such that $\max_i a_i < a < 0$ and $1 < b < \min_i b_i$, and set $E = \bigcup_i (F_i \times [a,b])$.

(2) Choose $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_\partial([a,b])$ such that λ is piecewise affine and $\lambda(0) = a/2$, $\lambda(1) = 0$. We obtain two isotopies

$\lambda_t \in \mathcal{H}_\partial([a,b])$ $(t \in [0,1])$ defined by $\lambda_t(s) = (1-t)s + t\lambda(s)$ and
$g_t \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ N \times \{a,b\}}(\partial_+ N \times [a,b])$ $(t \in [0,1])$ defined by $g_t(y,s) = (y, \lambda_t(s))$.

Note that $\lambda_0 = id$, $\lambda_1([a,1]) = [a,0]$, $\lambda_t([a,0]) \subset [a,0]$ and $\lambda_t([a,1]) \subset [a,1]$. Since λ_t is also piecewise affine, it is seen that λ_t is $m|_{[a,b]}$-biregular. Then each g_t is $\nu \times (m|_{[a,b]})$-biregular by Proposition 3.2. Finally, the required isotopy $h_t \in \mathcal{H}_{E^c}(M; \mu\text{-reg}) \subset G_X$ $(t \in [0,1])$ is defined by $h_t|_E = g_t$. □

By Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 2.5 we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 4.3. (1) *The induced map $\pi' : p^*G_X \to \mathcal{E}^G_X(N_1, M)$ is a principal G_N-bundle.*

(2) *The map $p : \mathcal{E}^G_X(N_1, M) \to \mathcal{E}^G_X(N, M)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

(3) *There exists a strong deformation retraction χ_t $(t \in [0,1])$ of $\mathcal{E}^G_N(N_1, M)$ onto the singleton $\{i_{N_1}\}$.*

(4) *The map $p' : p^*G_X \to G_X$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose X is a compact subset of M and N is a compact n-submanifold of M such that $\mu(\partial N) = 0$ and $X \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$. Then the restriction map*

$$\mathcal{H}_N(M; \mu\text{-}reg) \subset \mathcal{H}_X(M; \mu\text{-}reg) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-}reg)}_X(N, M) \qquad \text{defined by} \qquad \pi(h) = h|_N$$

is a fibration up to homotopy equivalences and has the exact sequence for homotopy groups.

5. Weak extension theorem for measure-preserving homeomorphisms

Throughout this section M is an n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. In this section we combine the weak extension theorem for $G = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ (Theorem 4.2) and the selection theorem for μ-biregular measures (Theorems 3.2 and 3.3) in order to obtain the weak extension theorems for the groups $H = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ and $F = \ker c^\mu$. We also discuss a non-ambient weak deformation of measure-preserving embeddings (Theorem 5.3). Some application to the group $H_c = \mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu)$ endowed with the Whitney topology is provided in Section 6.

5.1. Weak extension theorem for $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$.

We obtain the weak extension theorem for $\mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$ in a general form (Theorem 5.1, cf. [8, Theorem 4.12]). This answers Problem 2.1 and also leads us to the weak extension theorem for $\ker c^\mu$ in Section 5.2. (Recall that M is an n-manifold, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$, $G = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ and $H = \mathcal{H}(M; \mu)$.)

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(M)$, consider the subset $G^{A,B}$ of G defined by

$$G^{A,B} = \big\{h \in G \mid h|_A \in \mathcal{E}(A, M; \mu) \text{ and } \mu(h(L)) = \mu(L) \ (L \in \mathcal{C}(M - B))\big\}.$$

When $A = B$, we simply write G^A. For any $X \subset M$ we have the pair $(G_X^{A,B}, H_X)$ of subsets in G_X.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose N is a compact n-submanifold of M with $\mu(\partial N) = 0$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of N in M and X is a closed subset of ∂M with $X \cap N = \emptyset$. Then the triple (M, U, N) has WEP(G_X^N, H_X).*

Proof. Case 1: First we consider the case where M is connected.

Since $\mathcal{E}^{G_X^N}(U, M) \subset \mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu\text{-reg})$, by Theorem 4.2 applied to $(U, C) = (M - X, N)$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^{G_X^N}(U, M)$ and a map $\sigma : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to (G_X)_1$ such that

(i) for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$

(a) $\sigma_0(f) = id_M$, (b) $\sigma_1(f)|_N = f|_N$, (c) if $f = id$ on $\partial_- U$, then $\sigma_t(f) = id$ on ∂M,

(ii) $\sigma_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0, 1])$.

(1) First we modify the map σ to achieve the following additional condition: (i) (b′) $\sigma_1(f) \in H$.

Consider the induced map $\nu : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M; \mu)_{ew}$ defined by $\nu_t(f) = \sigma_t(f)^*\mu$.

Since M is connected, each $L \in \mathcal{C}(N^c)$ meets $\partial_+ N$. Since $\partial_+ N$ is compact, it follows that $\mathcal{C}(N^c)$ is a finite set. We note that $\nu_1(f)|_L \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(L; \mu|_L)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $L \in \mathcal{C}(N^c)$. In fact, since $\nu_1(f) \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M; \mu\text{-e-reg})$ and $\mu(\partial N) = 0$, we have $\nu_1(f)|_L \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(L; \mu|_L\text{-e-reg})$. It remains to show that $\nu_1(f)(L) = \mu(L)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{E}^{G_X^N}(U, M)$, there exists $h \in G_X^N$ such that $f = h|_U$. Then $k \equiv h^{-1}\sigma_1(f) \in \mathcal{H}_N(M)$. Since M is connected, we see that $N \cap L \neq \emptyset$, and since $k = id$ on N, we have $k(L) = L$. Hence, $\sigma_1(f)(L) = h(L)$ and it follows that $\nu_1(f)(L) = \mu(\sigma_1(f)(L)) = \mu(h(L)) = \mu(L)$.

For each $L \in \mathcal{C}(N^c)$ we obtain the map $\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(L; \mu|_L)_{ew} \ : \ f \longmapsto \nu_1(f)|_L$.

By the alternative description of the finite-ends weak topology and Lemma 3.1, this map is seen to be continuous (cf. [11, Lemma 3.2]). By Theorem 3.3 there exists a map

$$\eta_L : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(L; \mu|_L)_{ew} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\partial(L; \mu|_L\text{-reg})_1 \quad \text{such that} \quad \eta_L(\nu)_*(\mu|_L) = \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_L(\mu|_L) = id_L.$$

Define the map $\tau_L : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}_\partial(L; \mu|_L\text{-reg})_1$ by $\tau_L(f, t) = \eta_L((1-t)\mu|_L + t\nu_1(f)|_L)$.

Combining τ_L $(L \in \mathcal{C}(N^c))$, we obtain the map

$$\tau : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}_{N \cup \partial M}(M; \mu\text{-reg})_1 \quad \text{defined by} \quad \tau(f, t) = \begin{cases} \tau_L(f, t) & \text{on } L \in \mathcal{C}(N^c) \\ id & \text{on } N. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\tau_0(f) = id_M$ and $\tau_1(f)_*\mu = \nu_1(f)$. Define a map

$$\sigma' : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_X(M; \mu\text{-reg})_1 \quad \text{by} \quad \sigma'_t(f) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{2t}(f) & (t \in [0, 1/2]) \\ \sigma_1(f)\tau_{2t-1}(f) & (t \in [1/2, 1]). \end{cases}$$

Then the map σ' satisfies the conditions (i) (a), (b), (c) and (ii). The condition (i) (b$'$) is verified by

$$\sigma_1'(f)_*\mu \,=\, \sigma_1(f)_*\tau_1(f)_*\mu \,=\, \sigma_1(f)_*\nu_1(f) \,=\, \sigma_1(f)_*\sigma_1(f)^*\mu \,=\, \mu.$$

(2) To see that the triple (M,U,N) has WEP(G_X^N, H_X), we construct a map $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to H_X$ such that

(iii) for each $f \in \mathcal{U}$
  (a) $s_0(f) = id_M$, (b) $s_1(f)|_N = f|_N$, (c) if $f = id$ on $\partial_- U$, then $s_t(f) = id$ on ∂M,

(iv) $s_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0,1])$.

Consider the induced map $\nu' : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)_{ew}$ defined by $\nu_t'(f) = \sigma_t'(f)^*\mu$.

It is seen that $\nu_0'(f) = \nu_1'(f) = \mu$. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a map

$$\eta : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;\mu)_{ew} \to (G_\partial)_1 \quad \text{such that} \quad \eta(\nu)_*\mu = \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(\mu) = id_M.$$

The required map s is defined by $s_t(f) = \sigma_t'(f)\eta(\nu_t'(f))$ $((f,t) \in \mathcal{U} \times [0,1])$.

The conditions (iii) and (iv) are easily verified. For example, (iii) (b) is seen by

$$s_1(f) = \sigma_1'(f)\eta(\nu_1'(f)) = \sigma_1'(f)\eta(\mu) = \sigma_1'(f) \quad \text{and} \quad s_1(f)|_N = \sigma_1'(f)|_N = f|_N.$$

Case 2: Next we treat the general case where M may not be connected.

By Lemma 2.6 we may assume that U is compact. Let $M_1, \dots, M_m$ denote the connected components of M which meet U. For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, we set $(U_i, N_i, X_i) = (U,N,X) \cap M_i$ and $\mu_i = \mu|_{M_i}$. By Case 1, the triple (M_i, U_i, N_i) in M_i has WEP for $(G_i, H_i) = \big(\mathcal{H}_{X_i}(M_i;\mu_i\text{-reg})^{N_i}, \mathcal{H}_{X_i}(M_i;\mu_i)\big)$. Since the pair (G_i,H_i) can be canonically identified with the subpair $(G_X^N(M_i), H_X(M_i))$ of (G_X^N, H_X) and $\mathcal{E}^{G_i}(U_i,M_i) = \mathcal{E}^{G_X^N(M_i)}(U_i,M) = \mathcal{E}^{G_X^N}(U_i,M) \cap \mathcal{E}(U_i,M_i)$, which is an open subset of $\mathcal{E}^{G_X^N}(U_i,M)$, it is seen that the triple (M_i,U_i,N_i) in M has WEP(G_X^N,H_X). Hence, by Lemma 2.7 $(\bigcup_i M_i, U, N)$ has WEP(G_X^N,H_X) and by Lemma 2.6 so is (M,U,N). □

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose C is a compact subset of M, $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of C in M and X is a closed subset of ∂M with $X \cap C = \emptyset$. Then the triple (M,U,C) has WEP$(G_X^{U,C}, H_X)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 (2)(i) and Remark 3.1, there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that

$$C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N, \quad N \subset \mathrm{Int}_M U - X, \quad O - N \text{ is connected for each } O \in \mathcal{C}(M-C) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\partial N) = 0.$$

We show that $G^{U,C} \subset G^N$. Take any $h \in G^{U,C}$. Since $h|_U \in \mathcal{E}(U,M;\mu)$, we have $h|_N \in \mathcal{E}(N,M;\mu)$. By the choice of N, for each $L \in \mathcal{C}(M-N)$ there exists a unique $O \in \mathcal{C}(M-C)$ such that $L = O - N$. Since $h \in G^{U,C}$, we have $\mu(h(O)) = \mu(O)$. Since $h|_U \in \mathcal{E}(U,M;\mu)$, $O \cap N \subset N \subset U$ and N is compact, it follows that $\mu(h(O\cap N)) = \mu(O \cap N) \le \mu(N) < \infty$. Hence, $\mu(h(L)) = \mu(L)$. This means that $h \in G^N$.

By Lemma 5.1 the triple (M,U,N) has WEP(G_X^N,H_X) and by Lemma 2.6 we conclude that the triple (M,U,C) has WEP$(G_X^{U,C},H_X)$. □

Since $H_X \subset G_X^{U,C}$, the next statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 2.6.

Corollary 5.1. *Suppose C is a compact subset of M, $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of C in M and X is a closed subset of ∂M with $X \cap C = \emptyset$. Then the triple (M,U,C) has WEP$(\mathcal{H}_X(M;\mu))$.*

5.2. **The weak extension theorem for $\ker c^\mu$.**

Suppose M is a *connected* n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. In this section we deduce the weak extension theorem for the group $F = \ker c^\mu$ (Theorem 5.2). (Recall that $G = \mathcal{H}(M;\mu\text{-reg})$ and $H = \mathcal{H}(M;\mu)$. Note that $H_c = F_c$ and $H(C) = F(C)$ for any compact subset C of M.)

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose C is a compact subset of M, U and V are open neighborhoods of C in M such that $V \cap O$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M-C)$. Then, the triple (V,U,C) has WEP$(\ker c^\mu, \mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu))$.*

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.2 (2)(ii) and Remark 3.1, there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N$, $\quad N \subset V$, $\quad N \cap O$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$ and $\mu(\partial N) = 0$.
Note that $\mathcal{C}(N - C) = \{N \cap O \mid O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)\}$. Take compact subsets D and W of M such that $C \subset \mathrm{Int}_M D$, $D \subset \mathrm{Int}_M W$ and $W \subset U \cap \mathrm{Int}_M N$. Since $N \subset V$ and $W \subset U$, by Lemma 2.6 it suffices to show that the triple (N, W, C) has WEP$(\ker c^\mu, \mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu))$.

Since $\mathcal{E}^F(W, M) \subset \mathcal{E}^*(W, M; \mu\text{-reg})$, by Theorem 4.2 there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_W in $\mathcal{E}^F(W, M)$ and a map $\quad s : \mathcal{U} \to G(N) \quad$ such that $\quad s(f)|_D = f|_D \quad$ and $\quad s(i_W) = id_M$.
Replacing $\mathcal{U}$ by a smaller one, we may assume that $f(W) \subset N$ $(f \in \mathcal{U})$.

(2) Consider the n-manifold N and $\mu|_N \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N)$. By Theorem 5.1 the triple (N, D, C) has WEP for

$$(G', H') = \big(\mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ N}(N; \mu|_N\text{-reg})^{D,C}, \mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ N}(N; \mu|_N)\big).$$

Let $\mathcal{E}^{G'}(D, N) \supset \mathcal{U}' \xrightarrow{\sigma'_t} H'$ be the associated LWE map. Each $h' \in H'$ has a canonical extension $\psi(h') \in H(N)$ and this defines the canonical homeomorphism $\psi : H' \cong H(N)$.

(3) We show that $s(f)|_N \in G'$ for any $f \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $s(f) \in G(N)$, we have $s(f)|_N \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ N}(N; \mu|_N\text{-reg})$. Since $f \in \mathcal{E}^F(W, M)$, there exists $h \in F$ such that $f = h|_W$. Since $s(f)|_D = f|_D = h|_D \in \mathcal{E}(D, M; \mu)$ and $s(f)(N) = N$, it follows that $s(f)|_D \in \mathcal{E}(D, N; \mu|_N)$. Take any $L \in \mathcal{C}(N - C)$. Then there exists a unique $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$ with $L = N \cap O$. Let $K = O - L = O - N$. Consider $g \equiv h^{-1}s(f) \in \mathcal{H}_D(M)$. Since M is connected, we have $O \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and since $g = id$ on D, we have $g(O) = O$ and so $s(f)(O) = h(O)$. Since $s(f) \in G(N)$, it follows that
$s(f)(K) = K \quad$ and $\quad s(f)(L) = s(f)(O - K) = s(f)(O) - K = h(O) - K$.
Thus, we have $\mu(s(f)(L)) = \mu(h(O) - K)$. Since
$\mathrm{Fr}_M O \subset C, \quad O - K = L \subset N \quad$ and $\quad K = s(f)(K) \subset s(f)(O) = h(O)$,
it follows that $O \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$, $K \subset O \cap h(O)$ and $O - K$ is relatively compact in M. Since $h \in F$, by Lemma 3.3 we have $\mu(h(O) - K) = \mu(O - K) = \mu(L)$. Therefore, we have $\mu(s(f)(L)) = \mu(L)$. This means that $s(f)|_N \in G'$.

(4) By (3), for any $f \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $s(f)|_N \in G'$ and $f|_D = s(f)|_D = (s(f)|_N)|_D \in \mathcal{E}^{G'}(D, N)$. Thus, we obtain the continuous map $\phi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{E}^{G'}(D, N)$ defined by $\phi(f) = f|_D$. Replacing $\mathcal{U}$ by a smaller one, we may assume that $\phi(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{U}'$. Finally, the associated LWE map $S_t : \mathcal{U} \to H(N)$ for WEP(F, H_c) of the triple (N, W, C) is defined by

$$S_t(f) = \psi \sigma'_t \phi(f). \qquad \square$$

Since $H_c \subset F$, the next statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 2.6.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose C is a compact subset of M, U and V are open neighborhoods of C in M such that $V \cap O$ is connected for each $O \in \mathcal{C}(M - C)$. Then the triple (V, U, C) has WEP$(\mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu))$.*

5.3. **Non-ambient weak deformation of measure-preserving embeddings.**

Suppose M is an n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. In this section we obtain a non-ambient weak deformation theorem for measure-preserving embeddings. For $X \in \mathcal{B}(M)$, let $\mathcal{E}^*(X, M; \mu) = \mathcal{E}(X, M; \mu) \cap \mathcal{E}^*(X, M)$ with the compact-open topology.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose C is a compact subset of M and $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of C in M. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu)$ and a map $s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{E}^*(C, M; \mu)$ such that $s_0(f) = i_C$, $s_1(f) = f|_C$ $(f \in \mathcal{U})$ and $s_t(i_U) = i_C$ $(t \in [0,1])$.*

We call the map s a *local weak deformation* map (a LWD map) for the pair (U, C) in M.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose N is a compact n-submanifold of M with $\mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ is a neighborhood of N in M. Then the pair (U, N) admits a LWD map in M.*

Proof. Case 1: First we treat the case where N is connected.

(1) By Lemma 3.2 there exists a bicollar $E = \partial_+ N \times [a, b]$ $(a < 0 < b)$ of $\partial_+ N$ in M such that

$$\partial_+ N = \partial_+ N \times \{0\}, \quad N \cap E = \partial_+ N \times [a, 0] \quad \text{and} \quad \mu|_E = \nu \times (m|_{[a,b]}),$$

where $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(\partial_+ N)$ and m is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\partial_+ N) = \{F_1, \cdots, F_m\}$ and $E_i = F_i \times [a, b]$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$. For notational simplicity, we use the following notations:

$$E(I) = \partial_+ N \times I, \quad E_i(I) = F_i \times I \quad (I \subset [a, b]) \quad \text{and} \quad N_t = (N - E) \cup E[a, t] \quad (t \in [a, b]).$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $a < -3\varepsilon$, $3\varepsilon < b$, and define $\alpha_t \in \mathcal{H}_\partial([a, b])$ $(t \in (-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon))$ by the conditions:
$\alpha_t(s) = s + t$ $(s \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon])$ and α_t is affine on the intervals $[a, -\varepsilon]$ and $[\varepsilon, b]$.
For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, we obtain the isotopy $\phi_t^i = id_{F_i} \times \alpha_t \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ E_i}(E_i; \mu|_{E_i}\text{-reg})$ $(t \in (-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon))$.
Note that $\alpha_0 = id_{[a,b]}$ and $\phi_0^i = id_{E_i}$.

Take a small neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of i_N in $\mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ such that for any $g \in \mathcal{W}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, m$,

$$E_i[a, -\varepsilon] \subset g(N) \cap E_i \subset E_i[a, \varepsilon], \quad N_{-\varepsilon} \subset g(N) \subset N_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad g(F_i) \subset E_i(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

Then, for each $g \in \mathcal{W}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, m$, we have

(i) $(-\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i) < \mu(g(N) \cap E_i) < (\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i)$,
(ii) $\mu(\phi_t^i(g(N) \cap E_i)) = \mu(g(N) \cap E_i) + t\nu(F_i)$, since ϕ_t^i is μ-preserving on $E_i[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$.

For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, consider the map $c_i : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $c_i(g) = \mu(g(N) \cap E_i)$.
Since $\mu(g(\partial_+ N)) = 0$, the map c_i is seen to be continuous. Note that $c_i(g) \in \big((-\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i), (\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i)\big)$.

(2) Next we construct a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu)$ and a map $\eta : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\text{(iii)} \ \ \eta_0(f) = i_N, \ \ \eta_1(f) = f|_N, \ \ \eta_t(i_U) = i_N \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(iv)} \ \ \mu(\eta_t(f)(N)) = \mu(N).$$

By Theorem 4.2 there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu)$ and a map

$\sigma : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}_c(M; \mu\text{-reg})$ such that $\sigma_0(f) = id_M$, $\sigma_1(f)|_N = f|_N$ $(f \in \mathcal{U})$ and $\sigma_t(i_U) = id_M$ $(t \in [0, 1])$.

Replacing $\mathcal{U}$ by a smaller one, we may assume that $\sigma_t(f)|_N \in \mathcal{W}$ $(f \in \mathcal{U}, t \in [0, 1])$. Consider the map

$$\gamma : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{W} \ \subset \ \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu\text{-reg}) \qquad \text{defined by} \qquad \gamma_t(f) = \sigma_t(f)|_N.$$

The map γ satisfies the condition (iii). To achieve the condition (iv) we modify the map γ.

We define the maps $\lambda^i : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau^i : \mathcal{U} \times [0, 1] \to (-2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon)$ by

$$\lambda_t^i(f) = (1 - t)c_i(i_N) + tc_i(f|_N) \quad \text{and} \quad c_i(\gamma_t(f)) + \tau_t^i(f)\nu(F_i) = \lambda_t^i(f).$$

Since $\lambda_t^i(f), c_i(\gamma_t(f)) \in \big((-\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i), (\varepsilon - a)\nu(F_i)\big)$, we have

$$|\tau_t^i(f)|\nu(F_i) = |\lambda_t^i(f) - c_i(\gamma_t(f))| < 2\varepsilon\nu(F_i).$$

The map τ^i has the following properties:

(v) $\tau_0^i(f) = \tau_1^i(f) = \tau_t^i(i_U) = 0$,
(vi) $\mu(\phi^i_{\tau_t^i(f)}(\gamma_t(f)(N) \cap E_i)) = \mu(\gamma_t(f)(N) \cap E_i) + \tau_t^i(f)\nu(F_i) = \lambda_t^i(f)$.

The assertion (vi) follows from the property (1)(ii), while the assertion (v) follows from
$\tau_0^i(f)\nu(F_i) = \lambda_0^i(f) - c_i(\gamma_0(f)) = c_i(i_N) - c_i(i_N) = 0$, $\tau_1^i(f)\nu(F_i) = \lambda_1^i(f) - c_i(\gamma_1(f)) = c_i(f|_N) - c_i(f|_N) = 0$,
$\tau_t^i(i_U)\nu(F_i) = \lambda_t^i(i_U) - c_i(\gamma_t(i_U)) = c_i(i_N) - c_i(i_N) = 0$.

The maps $\phi^i_{\tau^i}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$ are combined to induce the map

$$\phi : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{H}_{E^c}(M; \mu\text{-reg}) \ \text{ defined by } \ \phi_t(f)|_{E_i} = \phi^i_{\tau^i_t(f)} \quad (i = 1, \cdots, m).$$

The desired map $\eta : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu\text{-reg})$ is defined by $\eta_t(f) = \phi_t(f)\gamma_t(f)$.
From (v) it follows that $\phi_0(f) = \phi_1(f) = \phi_t(i_U) = id_M$, since

$$\phi_0(f)|_{E_i} = \phi_1(f)|_{E_i} = \phi_t(i_U)|_{E_i} = \phi^i_0 = id_{E_i}.$$

Thus, the map η satisfies the condition (iii). To see the condition (iv), first note that

$$\eta_t(f)(N) = \phi_t(f)\gamma_t(f)(N) = \phi_t(f)\Big(N_a \cup \big(\textstyle\bigcup_i(\gamma_t(f)(N) \cap E_i)\big)\Big) = N_a \cup \Big(\textstyle\bigcup_i \phi^i_{\tau^i_t(f)}(\gamma_t(f)(N) \cap E_i)\Big).$$

Since f is μ-preserving, we have $\mu(f(N)) = \mu(N)$. Therefore, from (vi) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\eta_t(f)(N)) &= \mu(N_a) + \textstyle\sum_i \mu(\phi^i_{\tau^i_t(f)}(\gamma_t(f)(N) \cap E_i)) \ = \ \mu(N_a) + \sum_i \lambda^i_t(f) \\
&= \mu(N_a) + (1-t)\textstyle\sum_i c_i(i_N) + t\sum_i c_i(f|_N) \\
&= (1-t)\Big(\mu(N_a) + \textstyle\sum_i c_i(i_N)\Big) + t\Big(\mu(N_a) + \sum_i c_i(f|_N)\Big) \\
&= (1-t)\mu(N) + t\mu(f(N)) \ = \ \mu(N).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) The required LWD map s is obtained as follows.

Theorem 3.2 yields a map $\chi : \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(N; \mu|_N) \to \mathcal{H}_\partial(N; \mu|_N\text{-reg})_1$ such that

$$\chi(\omega)_*(\mu|_N) = \omega \ \ (\omega \in \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(N; \mu|_N)) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\mu|_N) = id_N.$$

By the condition (2)(iv) we have the map $\rho : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(N; \mu|_N)$ defined by $\rho_t(f) = \eta_t(f)^*\mu$.
Since $\rho_t(f) = \eta_t(f)^*\mu = \big((\phi_t(f)\sigma_t(f))^*\mu\big)|_N$, the map ρ is the composition of the following maps:

$$\mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \ \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \ \mathcal{H}(M; \mu\text{-reg}) \ \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \ \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(M; \mu\text{-reg}) \ \xrightarrow{\rho_3} \ \mathcal{M}^\partial_g(N; \mu|_N\text{-reg}),$$

$$\text{where} \quad \rho_1(f,t) = \phi_t(f)\sigma_t(f), \quad \rho_2(h) = h^*\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_3(\omega) = \omega|_N.$$

Since $\mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$, by Lemma 3.1 the third map is continuous. Thus the continuity of the map ρ follows from the continuity of these maps. Finally, the map

$$s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu) \qquad \text{is defined by} \qquad s_t(f) = \eta_t(f)\chi(\rho_t(f)).$$

Since $s_t(f)^*\mu = \chi(\rho_t(f))^*(\eta_t(f)^*\mu) = \chi(\rho_t(f))^*\rho_t(f) = \mu|_N$, it follows that $s_t(f)$ is μ-preserving. If $t = 0, 1$ or $f = i_U$, then by (2)(iii), $\eta_t(f)$ is μ-preserving, and so $\rho_t(f) = \mu|_N$ and $s_t(f) = \eta_t(f)$. Hence, by (2)(iii) the map s satisfies the required conditions: $s_0(f) = i_N$, $s_1(f) = f|_N$ and $s_t(i_U) = i_N$.

Case 2: Next we treat the general case where N may not be connected.

Let $\mathcal{C}(N) = \{N_1, \cdots, N_m\}$. By Case 1, each pair (U, N_i) $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$ admits a LWD map in M

$$\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu) \ \supset \ \mathcal{U}_i \ \xrightarrow{s^i_t} \ \mathcal{E}^*(N_i, M; \mu) \ \ (t \in [0,1]).$$

For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, choose a neighborhood U_i of N_i in U such that $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ $(i \neq j)$.

We can find a small neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of i_U in $\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu)$ such that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_i$ and $s^i_t(f)(N_i) \subset U_i$ $(f \in \mathcal{U})$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, m$. A LWD map

$$s : \mathcal{U} \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu) \qquad \text{for } (U,N) \text{ is defined by} \qquad s_t(f)|_{N_i} = s^i_t(f) \quad (i = 1, \cdots, m). \qquad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5.3. By Lemma 2.1 and Remark 3.1 there exists a compact n-submanifold N of M such that $\mu(\partial_+ N) = 0$ and $C \subset N \subset \mathrm{Int}_M U$. By Lemma 5.2 the pair (U, N) admits a LWD map

$$\mathcal{E}^*(U, M; \mu) \ \supset \ \mathcal{U} \ \xrightarrow{\sigma_t} \ \mathcal{E}^*(N, M; \mu) \ \ (t \in [0,1]).$$

A LWD map $s_t : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{E}^*(C, M; \mu)$ for (U, C) is defined by $s_t(f) = \sigma_t(f)|_C$. $\square$

6. GROUPS OF MEASURE PRESERVING HOMEOMORPHISMS ENDOWED WITH THE WHITNEY TOPOLOGY

Suppose M is a *connected noncompact* n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. In [3, Proposition 5.3] we have shown that the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M)_w$, endowed with the Whitney topology, is locally contractible. In this section we shall apply the weak extension theorem for $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)$ (Corollary 5.2) to verify the local contractibility of the group $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$ endowed with the Whitney topology (Theorem 6.1).

6.1. **Homeomorphism groups with the Whitney topology.**

First we recall basic properties of the Whitney topology on homeomorphism groups (cf. [3, Section 4.3]). Suppose Y is a paracompact space and $\mathrm{cov}(Y)$ is the family of all open covers of Y. For maps $f, g : X \to Y$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{cov}(Y)$, we say that f, g are *$\mathcal{U}$-near* and write $(f, g) \prec \mathcal{U}$ if every point $x \in X$ admits $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $f(x), g(x) \in U$. For each $h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{cov}(Y)$, let

$$\mathcal{U}(h) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(Y) \mid (f, h) \prec \mathcal{U}\}.$$

The Whitney topology on $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ is generated by the base $\mathcal{U}(h)$ ($h \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$, $\mathcal{U} \in \mathrm{cov}(Y)$). The symbol $\mathcal{H}(Y)_w$ denotes the group $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ endowed with the Whitney topology (while the symbol $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ denotes the group $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ with the compact-open topology). It is known that $G = \mathcal{H}(Y)_w$ is a topological group. Recall the notations $G_0 = \mathcal{H}_0(Y)_w$ (the identity component of G) and $G_c = \mathcal{H}_c(Y)_w$ (the subgroup of G consisting of homeomorphisms with compact support). In [3, Sections 4.1, 4.3] it is shown that $\mathcal{H}_0(Y)_w \subset \mathcal{H}_c(Y)_w$.

6.2. **The box topology on topological groups.**

The Whitney topology is closely related to box products (cf. [3]). Next we recall basic properties of (small) box products (cf. [3, Sections 1, 2]). The *box product* $\square_{n\geq 1} X_n$ of a sequence of topological spaces $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is the product $\prod_{n\geq 1} X_n$ endowed with the box topology generated by the base consisting of boxes $\prod_{n\geq 1} U_n$ (U_n is an open subset of X_n). The *small box product* $\boxdot_{n\geq 1} X_n$ of a sequence of pointed spaces $\big((X_n, *_n)\big)_{n\geq 1}$ is the subspace of $\square_{n\geq 1} X_n$ defined by

$$\boxdot_{n\geq 1} X_n = \big\{(x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \square_{n\geq 1} X_n \mid \exists\, m \geq 1 \text{ such that } x_n = *_n \ (n \geq m)\big\}.$$

It has the canonical distinguished point $(*_n)_{n\geq 1}$. For a sequence of subsets $A_n \subset X_n$ ($n \geq 1$), we set

$$\boxdot_{n\geq 1} A_n = \boxdot_{n\geq 1} X_n \cap \square_{n\geq 1} A_n.$$

We say that a space X is (*strongly*) *locally contractible* at $x \in X$ if every neighborhood V of x contains a neighborhood U of x which is contractible in V (rel. x) (i.e., there is a homotopy $h : U \times [0, 1] \to V$ such that $h_0 = id_U$, $h_1(U) = \{x\}$ (and $h_t(x) = x$ ($t \in [0, 1]$))). A pointed space (X, x_0) is said to be *locally contractible* if X is locally contractible at any point of X and strongly locally contractible at x_0. It is easily seen that if a topological group G is locally contractible at the identity element e, then the pointed space (G, e) is locally contractible ([3, Remark 1.9]). The next lemma follows from a straightforward argument.

Lemma 6.1. ([3, Proposition 1.10]) *If pointed spaces $(X_i, *_i)$ ($i \geq 1$) are locally contractible, then the small box product $\boxdot_{i\geq 1}(X_i, *_i)$ is also locally contractible as a pointed space.*

Suppose G is a topological group with the identity element $e \in G$. A sequence of closed subgroups $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of G is called a *tower* in G if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad G = \textstyle\bigcup_{n\geq 1} G_n.$$

Any tower $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in G induces the small box product $\boxdot_{n\geq 1}(G_n, e)$ and the multiplication map

$$p : \boxdot_{n\geq 1}(G_n, e) \longrightarrow G \quad \text{defined by} \quad p(x_1, \ldots, x_m, e, e, \cdots) = x_1 \cdots x_m.$$

Note that $\boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n$ is a topological group with the coordinatewise multiplication and the identity element $\boldsymbol{e} = (e, e, \cdots)$ and that the map p is well-defined and continuous ([3, Lemma 2.1]).

Definition 6.1. We say that G *carries the box topology* with respect to $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$ if the map $p : \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n \to G$ is an open map.

Recall that G is *the direct limit* of $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in the category of topological groups if any group homomorphism $h : G \to H$ to an arbitrary topological group H is continuous provided the restriction $h|G_n$ is continuous for each $n \geq 1$. If G carries the box topology with respect to $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$, then G is the direct limit of $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$ in the category of topological groups ([3, Proposition 2.7]). Note that the map p is an open map if it is open at $\boldsymbol{e}$ (i.e., for any neighborhood U of $\boldsymbol{e}$ in $\boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n$ the image $p(U)$ is a neighborhood of e in G). We say that a map $f : X \to Y$ has a local section at $y \in Y$ if there exists a neighborhood U of y in Y and a map $s : U \to X$ such that $fs = i_U$. If the map p has a local section $s : U \to \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n$ at $e \in G$, then (i) we can adjust s so that $s(e) = \boldsymbol{e}$ and so (ii) the map p is open at $\boldsymbol{e}$. Thus, the next lemma follows from Definition 6.1 and Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose the map $p : \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n \to G$ has a local section at e. Then*

1. *G carries the box topology with respect to the tower $(G_n)_{n\geq 1}$,*
2. *if the subgroups G_n $(n \geq 1)$ are locally contractible, then G is also locally contractible.*

Lemma 6.3. *The map $p : \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n \to G$ has a local section at e iff for any* (*or some*) *subsequence $(G_{n(i)})_{i\geq 1}$ the multiplication map $p' : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} G_{n(i)} \longrightarrow G$ has a local section at e.*

Proof. Consider the maps $\pi : \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n \to \boxdot_{i\geq 1} G_{n(i)}$ and $\eta : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} G_{n(i)} \to \boxdot_{n\geq 1} G_n$

defined by $$\pi(\cdots, x_{n(i-1)+1}, \cdots, x_{n(i)}, \cdots) = (\cdots, \overset{\overset{i}{\vee}}{(x_{n(i-1)+1} \cdots x_{n(i)})}, \cdots) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\eta(\cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, \cdots) = (\cdots, e, \underset{\overset{\wedge}{n(i-1)}}{x_{i-1}}, e, \cdots, e, \underset{\overset{\wedge}{n(i)}}{x_i}, \cdots), \qquad \text{where } n(0) = 0.$$

The maps p and p' have the factorizations $p' = p\eta$ and $p = p'\pi$, from which follows the assertion. $\square$

6.3. Local contractibility of $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$.

Suppose M is a connected noncompact n-manifold and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$. Let $H = \mathcal{H}(M;\mu)$ and $F = \ker c^\mu$. (Recall that the subscript w means the Whitney topology. For example, $H_{c,w} = \mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$.)

Consider any sequence $(K_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of compact subsets of M such that $K_i \subset \mathrm{Int}_M K_{i+1}$ $(i \geq 1)$ and $M = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} K_i$. It induces a tower $H(K_i) = \mathcal{H}_{M-K_i}(M;\mu)$ $(i \geq 1)$ of $H_{c,w}$ and the multiplication map

$$p : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(K_i) \longrightarrow H_{c,w}, \quad p(h_1, \ldots, h_m, id_M, id_M, \cdots) = h_1 \cdots h_m.$$

Theorem 6.1. (1) *The multiplication map $p : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(K_i) \to \mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$ has a local section at id_M.*

(2) *The group $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$ carries the box topology with respect to the tower $(H(K_i))_{i\geq 1}$.*

(3) *The group $\mathcal{H}_c(M;\mu)_w$ is locally contractible.*

We need some preliminary lemmas. Consider a sequence of compact connected n-submanifolds $(M_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of M such that $M_i \subset \mathrm{Int}_M M_{i+1}$ $(i \geq 1)$ and $M = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} M_i$. Let $M_0 = \emptyset$ and $L_i = M_i - \mathrm{Int}_M M_{i-1}$ $(i \geq 1)$. There exists a sequence of compact n-submanifolds $(N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of M such that $L_i \subset \mathrm{Int}_M N_i$ and $N_i \cap N_j \neq \emptyset$ iff $|i - j| \leq 1$. We call the sequence $(M_i, L_i, N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ an *exhausting sequence* for M.

Lemma 6.4. *For any sequence $(K_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of compact subsets of M there exists an exhausting sequence $(M_i, L_i, N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ for M such that for each $i \geq 1$* (i) *$K_i \subset M_i$,* (ii) *$\mu(\partial_+ M_i) = 0$ and* (iii) *the pair (N_i, L_i) has WEP(F, H_c).*

Proof. By the repeated application of Lemma 2.1, we can find a sequence of compact connected n-submanifolds $(M_i)_{i\geq 1}$ of M such that

(i) $K_i \subset M_i \subset \mathrm{Int}_M M_{i+1}$, $\mu(\partial_+ M_i) = 0$ $(i \geq 1)$ and $M = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} M_i$,

(ii) L is noncompact and $M_{i+1} \cap L$ is connected for each $i \geq 1$ and each $L \in \mathcal{C}(M_i^c)$.

Let $M_i = \emptyset$ $(i \leq 0)$ and $M_i^j = M_j - \mathrm{Int}_M M_i$ $(j > i)$.

(1) First we show that the pair $(N, K) = (M_{i-1}^{j+1}, M_i^j)$ has WEP(F, H_c) for each $j > i \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{C}(M_{i-1}^c) = \{C_1, \cdots, C_m\}$ and set $(N_k, K_k) = (N \cap C_k, K \cap C_k)$ $(k = 1, \cdots, m)$. Since $(N_k)_k$ is a disjoint finite family, by Lemma 2.7 it suffices to show that each pair (N_k, K_k) has WEP(F, H_c).

Note that $\mathcal{C}(K_k^c) = \{E_0, E_1, \cdots, E_\ell\}$, where

$$E_0 = M_i \cup \bigcup_{s\neq k} C_s \quad \text{and} \quad \{E \in \mathcal{C}(M_j^c) \mid E \subset C_k\} = \{E_1, \cdots, E_\ell\}.$$

(If $i = 0$, we ignore E_0.) By the above condition (ii) it is seen that the intersections

$$N_k \cap E_0 = M_i \cap C_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_k \cap E_t = M_{j+1} \cap E_t \quad (t = 1, \cdots, \ell)$$

are connected. Hence, we can apply Theorem 5.2 to $(V, U, C) = (\mathrm{Int}_M N_k, \mathrm{Int}_M N_k, K_k)$ to conclude that this triple has WEP(F, H_c). Thus, by Lemma 2.6 the pair (N_k, K_k) also has WEP(F, H_c).

(2) Now consider the subsequence $(M_{3i})_{i\geq 1}$. Let $L_i = M_{3i-3}^{3i}$ and $N_i = M_{3i-4}^{3i+1}$ $(i \geq 1)$. Then, it is seen that $(M_{3i}, L_i, N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is an exhausting sequence for M and by (1) each pair (N_i, L_i) has WEP(F, H_c). ☐

Suppose $(M_i, L_i, N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is an exhausting sequence for M. It induces a tower $(H(M_i))_{i\geq 1}$ of $H_{c,w}$ and the multiplication map $p : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(M_i) \longrightarrow H_{c,w}$.

Lemma 6.5. *If each pair (N_{2i}, L_{2i}) $(i \geq 1)$ has WEP(H_c), then the map $p : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(M_i) \to H_{c,w}$ has a local section $s : \mathcal{U} \to \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(M_i)$ at id_M such that $s(id_M) = (id_M)_{i\geq 1}$*

Proof. We use the following notations: Let $L_e = \bigcup_i L_{2i}$, $L_o = \bigcup_i L_{2i-1}$ and $N_e = \bigcup_i N_{2i}$. Consider the continuous maps defined by

(a) $r_e : H_{c,w} \to \boxdot_i \mathcal{E}^{H_c}(L_{2i}, M)$, $r_e(h) = (h|_{L_{2i}})_i$ and $r : H_{c,w} \to \boxdot_i \mathcal{E}^{H_c}(N_{2i}, M)$, $r(h) = (h|_{N_{2i}})_i$,

(b) $\lambda : \boxdot_i H(N_{2i}) \to H_c(N_e)_w$, $\lambda((g_i)_i)|_{N_{2i}} = g_i|_{N_{2i}}$ and
$\lambda_o : \boxdot_i H(L_{2i-1}) \to H_c(L_o)_w$, $\lambda_o((h_i)_i)|_{L_{2i-1}} = h_i|_{L_{2i-1}}$,

(c) $\rho : \boxdot_i H(N_{2i}) \times \boxdot_i H(L_{2i-1}) \to H_{c,w}$, $\rho(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{g})\lambda_o(\boldsymbol{h})$.

Note that the map λ_o is a homeomorphism, since for any $h \in H_c(L_o)$ we have $h = id$ on $\partial_+ M_i$ and $h(M_i) = M_i$, so that $h(L_i) = L_i$ $(i \geq 1)$.

First we construct a local section of the map ρ at id_M. By the assumption, for each $i \geq 1$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_i$ of the inclusion map $i_{N_{2i}}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{H_c}(N_{2i}, M)$ and a map

$$\sigma_i : \mathcal{V}_i \to H(N_{2i}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \sigma_i(f)|_{L_{2i}} = f|_{L_{2i}} \ (f \in \mathcal{V}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i(i_{N_{2i}}) = id_M.$$

Since $\boxdot_i \mathcal{V}_i$ is a neighborhood of $(i_{N_{2i}})_i$ in $\boxdot_i \mathcal{E}^{H_c}(N_{2i}, M)$, the preimage $\mathcal{U} = r^{-1}(\boxdot_i \mathcal{V}_i)$ is a neighborhood of id_M in $H_{c,w}$. The maps $(\sigma_i)_i$ determine the continuous maps

$$\sigma : \boxdot_i \mathcal{V}_i \longrightarrow \boxdot_i H(N_{2i}) \quad \text{defined by} \quad \sigma((f_i)_i) = \big(\sigma_i(f_i)\big)_i \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \lambda\,\sigma\,r : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow H_c(N_e)_w.$$

For each $g \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $\eta(g) = g$ on L_e and $\eta(g)^{-1}g \in H_{c,L_e} = H_c(L_o)$. Thus we obtain the map

$$\phi : \mathcal{U} \to H_c(L_o)_w \qquad \text{defined by} \qquad \phi(g) = \eta(g)^{-1}g.$$

The required local section $\zeta : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \boxdot_i H(N_{2i}) \times \boxdot_i H(L_{2i-1})$ of the map ρ is defined by

$$\zeta(g) = (\sigma\,r(g), \lambda_o^{-1}\phi(g)).$$

In fact, we have

$$\rho\zeta(g) = \rho(\sigma\,r(g), \lambda_o^{-1}\phi(g)) = \lambda(\sigma\,r(g))\phi(g) = \eta(g)(\eta(g)^{-1}g) = g.$$

Note that $\zeta(id_M) = ((id_M)_i, (id_M)_i)$.

For each $h \in \mathcal{U}$ the image $\zeta(h) = ((f_i)_i, (g_i)_i)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $h = \lambda((f_i)_i)\,\lambda_o((g_i)_i) = (f_1 f_2 \cdots)(g_1 g_2 \cdots) = f_1 g_1 f_2 g_2 f_3 g_3 \cdots.$

(ii) $f_i \in H(N_{2i}) \subset H(M_{2i+1}), \quad g_i \in H(L_{2i-1}) \subset H(M_{2i-1}) \subset H(M_{2i+2}) \quad (i \geq 1).$

(iii) $\big(id_M, id_M, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big) \in \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(M_i)$ and $h = p\big(id_M, id_M, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big).$

Therefore, the required local section $s : \mathcal{U} \to \boxdot_i H(M_i)$ of the map $p : \boxdot_i H(M_i) \to H_{c,w}$ is defined by

$$s(h) = \big(id_M, id_M, f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots\big).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose N is a compact n-manifold, L is a (locally flat) $(n-1)$-submanifold of ∂N and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N)$. Then the group $\mathcal{H}_L(N;\nu)$ is locally contractible.*

Proof. In [8, Theorem 4.4] the case where $L = \emptyset$ or ∂N is verified. For the sake of completeness we include a proof. We may assume that N is connected.

(1) First we see that the group $G_L = \mathcal{H}_L(N;\nu\text{-reg})$ is locally contractible. Since G_L is a topological group, it suffices to show that it is semi-locally contractible at id_N, that is, a neighborhood of id_N contracts in G_L. Using a collar $L \times [0,2]$ of L in N (cf. Lemma 3.2), we have a deformation of G_L to $G_{L\times[0,1]}$ which fixes id_N. Applying Theorem 4.1 to $(C,U,D,E) = (N, N, L, L \times [0,1])$, we can find a neighborhood of id_N in $G_{L\times[0,1]}$ which contracts in G_L. These deformations are combined to yield a desired contraction of a neighborhood of id_N in G_L.

(2) Next we show that the group $H_L = \mathcal{H}_L(N;\nu)$ is a strong deformation retract (SDR) of G_L. By Theorem 3.2 the map $\pi : G \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N;\nu)$ admits a section $s : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N;\nu) \to G_\partial \subset G_L$. This yields a homeomorphism of pairs

$$H_L \times \big(\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N;\nu), \{\nu\}\big) \approx (G_L, H_L) \ : \ (h,\omega) \longmapsto s(\omega)h.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(N;\nu)$ admits the "straight line contraction" to $\{\nu\}$, we obtain a SDR of G_L onto H_L.

Finally, the conclusion follows from the observations (1) and (2). □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. (1), (3) By Lemma 6.4 there exists an exhausting sequence $(M_i, L_i, N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ for M such that $\mu(\partial_+ M_i) = 0$ $(i \geq 1)$ and each pair (N_i, L_i) $(i \geq 1)$ has WEP(H_c). By Lemma 6.5 the multiplication map $p' : \boxdot_{i\geq 1} H(M_i) \to H_{c,w}$ has a local section at id_M. By Lemma 6.3 this implies the assertion (1) (consider a mixed sequence of $(K_i)_i$ and $(M_i)_i$). By Lemma 6.6 the group $H(M_i) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\partial_+ M_i}(M_i; \mu|_{M_i})$ is locally contractible for each $i \geq 1$. Thus, by Lemma 6.2 (2) the group $H_{c,w}$ is also locally contractible.

(2) The assertion follows from (1) and Lemma 6.2 (1). □

References

[1] S. Alpern and V. Prasad, *End behaviour and ergodicity for homeomorphisms of manifolds with finitely many ends*, Can. J. Math., **39** (2) (1987) 473–491.

[2] S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad, Typical dynamics of volume-preserving homeomorphisms, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, (2001).

[3] T. Banakh, K. Mine, K. Sakai and T. Yagasaki, *Homeomorphism groups and Diffeomorphism groups of manifolds with the Whitney topology*, a preprint (math.GT/08020337).

[4] R. Berlanga, Groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms as deformation retracts, *J. London Math. Soc. (2),* 68 (2003) 241 - 254.

[5] R. Berlanga, , Homotopy equivalence and groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms, *Canad. Math. Bull.,* 49 no. 3 (2006) 337 - 346.

[6] A.V. Černavskiĭ, *Local contractibility of the group of homeomorphisms of a manifold*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **79 (121)** (1969) 307–356.

[7] R.D. Edward and R.C. Kirby, *Deformations of spaces imbeddings*, Ann. of Math. **93** (1971) 63–88.

[8] A. Fathi, Structures of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold, *Ann. scient. Ẽc. Norm. Sup. (4),* 13 (1980) 45 - 93.

[9] R.C. Kirby and L.C. Siebenmann, Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations (Annals of Mathematics Studies, **88**). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1977.

[10] J. Oxtoby and S. Ulam, Measure preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, *Ann. of Math.,* 42 (1941) 874 - 920.
[11] T. Yagasaki, Groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms of noncompact 2-manifolds, *Topology and its Applications*, 154 (2007) 1521 - 1531.
[12] T. Yagasaki, Measure-preserving homeomorphisms of noncompact manifolds and mass flow toward ends, *Fund. Math.*,197 (2007) 271-287

Division of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyoku, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan
E-mail address: `yagasaki@kit.ac.jp`